

Joe Cicero, President Americans for Democratic Action Southern California Chapter 3916 South Sepulveda Boulevard Culver City, CA 90230

JUN -2 2016 .

RE: MUR 6836

Americans for Democratic Action Southern California Chapter

Dear Mr. Cicero:

On June 10, 2014, the Federal Election Commission notified Americans for Democratic Action, Southern California Chapter, and you as president ("ADA") of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). On May 24, 2016, the Commission found, on the basis of the information in the complaint and information provided by you that there is no reason to believe ADA violated the Act or Commission regulations. Accordingly, the Commission closed its file in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66,132 (Dec. 14, 2009). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which explains the Commission's finding, is enclosed for your information.

If you have any questions, please contact Elena Paoli, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1548.

Sincerely,

effS. Jordan

Assistant/General Counsel
Complaints Examination &

Legal Administration

Enclosure Factual and Legal Analysis

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1 2	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
3 4 5 6 7 8	RESPONDENTS: Marianne Williamson for Congress  and Kevin R. Heneghan as treasurer  Progressive Leaders for Democratic  Action  Americans for Democratic Action
10	I. INTRODUCTION
11 12	This matter was generated by a complaint alleging violations of the Federal Election
13	Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act") and Commission regulations by Marianne
14	Williamson for Congress and Kevin R. Heneghan as treasurer ("Committee"), the Progressive
15	Leaders for Democratic Action ("PLDA"), the University of California, Los Angeles ("UCLA"),
16	and Americans for Democratic Action ("ADA"). It was scored as a low-rated matter under the
17	Enforcement Priority System, by which the Commission uses formal scoring criteria as a basis to
18	allocate its resources and decide which matters to pursue.
19	A. Facts
20	In early May 2014, PLDA, a student organization at UCLA, posted on its Facebook page
21	that it endorsed Williamson for Congress and encouraged UCLA students to volunteer to help
22	the campaign. Compl. at 2-3. PLDA also posted photographs of students wearing Williamson
23	campaign t-shirts and news about an off-campus event featuring her and musician Alanis
24	Morissette. Id.
25	On May 29, 2014, PLDA sponsored an event for Williamson on campus. Compl. at 1-3.
26	PLDA publicized the event by posting a flyer on its Facebook page. Compl. at 3. The flyer
27	includes a disclaimer at the bottom that states, "Paid for by Marianne Williamson for Congress."

Dismissal and Case Closure — MUR 6836 Factual and Legal Analysis Marianne Williamson for Congress et al. Page 2

- 1 See Compl. Appendix A. The event included "an elaborate fruit, cheese and refreshment
- 2 display." Compl. at 1.
- In addition to alleging that UCLA and PLDA made prohibited contributions,
- 4 Complainant alleges that they violated the Act by promoting and endorsing Williamson, and that
- 5 PLDA is a "front" for her campaign. Id. at 3-4. Furthermore, the Complainant alleges that
- 6 PLDA is hosted on the same nationbuilder.com platform as the Los Angeles Chapter of ADA,
- 7 which supports the theory that PLDA was established in April 2014 in association with
- 8 supporters of the Committee in order to make it appear as though a UCLA student association
- 9 supported the campaign. Id.
- 10 UCLA responds that it did not host the May 29 event; rather PLDA, an independent,
- 11 registered student organization, hosted it. UCLA Resp. at 1, 3. UCLA further states that it
- 12 charged PLDA the same rate for the use of its facilities that it would have charged any other
- student group, and that PLDA received no university funding. *Id.* at 1, 3 and 4. Specifically,
- 14 UCLA invoiced PLDA for event costs totaling \$68, which purportedly covered event planning
- and personnel. Id. at 5 and UCLA Resp., Exh. H. (payment receipt from PLDA).
- The joint response from PLDA and ADA, submitted by Joe Cicero, states that the PLDA
- is not a "front organization" for anyone.<sup>2</sup> PLDA Resp. at 1. PLDA further responds that it was
- 18 not created just to support Williamson and notes that she was one of 36 candidates endorsed by
- 19 both ADA and PLDA. Id.

Publicly available information indicates that the room where the Williamson event took place, the Global Viewpoint Lounge in the Ackerman Union on UCLA's campus, is available at no cost to student groups. See http://legacy.asucla.ucla.edu/eventservices/stu venues.asp?ref=prices.

Joe Cicero appears to be the President of the Southern California Chapter of ADA and a founding director of PLDA. See PLDA Resp.

Dismissal and Case Closure — MUR 6836 Factual and Legal Analysis Marianne Williamson for Congress *et al.* Page 3

1 The Committee responds that the Complaint does not allege it violated the Act.

- 2 Committee Resp. at 1. The Committee further states that it paid for the event's costs and
- 3 advertisements, and it is not aware of any payments by PLDA or anyone else.<sup>3</sup> Id.
- 4 An unincorporated student group, such as PLDA, is a "group of persons" and, thereby,
- 5 comes under the definition of a "person" under the Act. 52 U.S.C. § 30101(11). In 2014, a
- 6 person was limited to making \$2,600 in contributions, including in-kind contributions, per
- 7 election to any candidate. 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(1)(A). The term "expenditure" is defined by the
- 8 Act as "any purchase, payment ... or anything of value made by any person for the purpose of
- 9 influencing any election for Federal office ..." 52 U.S.C. § 30101(9)(A).
- There is no evidence in the record to suggest that ADA was involved with the event and,
  therefore, the Commission finds no reason to believe that Americans for Democratic Action
- 12 violated the Act or Commission regulations.
- 13 It is not clear whether the Committee or PLDA paid the costs associated with the event.
- 14 The Committee states that it paid all of the costs, although the receipt from UCLA indicates that
- 15 PLDA paid the room rental fees and other costs. Committee Resp. at 1, UCLA Resp., Exh. H.
- 16 (payment receipt from PLDA). We also do not know the cost of the food and refreshments,
- 17 although they were likely modest. In any event, the Committee's reports to the Commission do
- 18 not appear to disclose the costs, either as a contribution from PLDA or an expenditure it made.
- However, in light of the apparent low dollar amount associated with the event at issue,
- 20 the Commission exercises its prosecutorial discretion, pursuant to Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S.

In contrast, in an article attached to the Complaint, Cicero apparently stated that the Williamson event was not funded by the Committee but that PLDA had applied for funding for it from another student group. See Compl. Attachment, Benjamin Genta, The Daily Bruin (http://DailyBruin.com), Candidate Marianne Williamson speaks at Ackerman Thursday (May 30, 2014).

Dismissal and Case Closure — MUR 6836 Factual and Legal Analysis Marianne Williamson for Congress et al. Page 4

- 821 (1985), and dismisses this matter as to Marianne Williamson for Congress and Kevin R.
- 2 Heneghan in his official capacity as treasurer and the Progressive Leaders for Democratic
- 3 Action.